

English Learner Research Aligned Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Rubrics









English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #1 – English Language Development

Overall Rubric Rating:			

FOCUS AREA # 1 --- English Language Development

	Exemplary	Good	Weak	No Evidence Included
Designated & Integrated ELD Program	☐ Focus on the implementation of designated and integrated ELD includes explicit goals, evidence-based strategies/practices for an articulated ELD program, and standards-based ELD curricular materials.	☐ Focus on the implementation of designated and integrated ELD includes several goals and evidence-based strategies for an articulated ELD program, and standards-based ELD curricular materials.	☐ Focus on the implementation of designated or integrated ELD includes minimal goals or evidence-based strategies and standards-based ELD curricular materials.	□ No mention of an ELD program or designated ELD instruction.
ELD Standards	☐ Focus on ELD standards is identified as an explicit, targeted set of ongoing activities to allow teachers, administrators, and counselors to understand the standards, and to plan collaboratively for implementation in designated ELD and integrated ELD in content areas.	□ Focus on ELD standards is identified with several activities to allow teachers and administrators to understand the standards for implementation in designated ELD.	☐ Focus on ELD standards is identified with minimal activities that focus only on teachers' understanding of the standards for implementation in designated ELD.	□ No mention of ELD standards.
ELD Standards Implementation	☐ Priorities are set with <u>explicit</u> goals and activities for ELD standards implementation <u>based on needs</u> <u>assessment and student language</u> <u>proficiency and academic data</u> .	□ Priorities are set with <u>several</u> goals and activities for ELD standards implementation <u>based on student</u> <u>language proficiency and academic data.</u>	☐ Minimal goals and activities are set for ELD Standards implementation and do not consider student language proficiency or academic data.	No student language proficiency or academic data is considered to set goals or specific activities for implementation related to ELD standards.
ELD Standards Professional Development	☐ Aligned, simultaneous professional development of California Core Standards and ELD standards for teachers and administrators of ELs.	☐ Sequential professional development of California Core Standards and ELD standards for teachers and administrators of ELs.	☐ Professional development of California Core Standards for teachers only without inclusion of ELD standards.	Limited professional development of California Core Standards.







English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #1 – English Language Development

Evidence (cite page numbers):	
Promising Practices (cite page numbers)	
and the second s	

2







when credit is given to the sponsoring organizations.

English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #3 – Professional Development

Overall Rubric Rating:	

FOCUS AREA #3: Professional Development (PD)

	Exemplary	Good	Weak	No Evidence
PD Stakeholder Input	□ District gathered input by conducting needs assessments/meetings with teachers, other educators (e.g. administrators, specialists, etc.) and stakeholders multiple times to identify differentiated learning needs for EL teaching and learning.	☐ District has some input from teachers and other stakeholders to identify differentiated learning needs for EL teaching and learning.	□ District gathered minimal input from teachers OR stakeholders to identify differentiated learning needs for EL teaching and learning.	 No mention of teacher/stakeholder input or needs assessment for EL teaching or learning.
Comprehensive PD Program for Teachers of ELs	□ Detailed professional development (PD) plan includes short and <u>long-term goals</u> for teachers of ELs and describes many effective PD elements, such ongoing teacher collaboration, classroombased application, AND teacher reflection or inquiry cycles.	□ Professional development plan includes some goals for teachers of ELs and effective PD elements such as teacher collaboration, classroombased application, OR teacher reflection or inquiry cycles.	☐ <u>Limited activities</u> described for professional development of EL teachers without any reference to specific professional development goals based upon teacher needs.	
PD Content	PD activities explicitly identifies training on EL issues for district and site administrators, teachers, instructional support staff, AND counselors including but not limited to implementation of ELD Standards, addressing the language and socioemotional needs of the different EL profiles (e.g. newcomers, Long Term English Learners) literacy and content instruction in L1 and English.	PD activities identify some training on EL issues for district/site administrators, teachers, instructional support staff, OR counselors such as the implementation of ELD Standards, addressing the language and socioemotional needs of the different EL profiles (e.g. newcomers, Long Term English Learners) literacy or content instruction in L1 and English.	Limited EL PD activities described for administrators, teachers, support staff or counselors such as the implementation of ELD Standards, addressing the language and socio-emotional needs of the different EL profiles (e.g. newcomers, Long Term English Learners) literacy or content instruction in L1 and	No EL PD activities described for administrators, teachers, support staff or counselors.
PD Cultural Proficiency/ Competency	□ Explicit PD activities for certificated and classified staff to address key elements of cultural proficiency/competency training, including crosscultural interactions, cultural differences in communication patterns, role of culture and impact on EL learning and achievement, and culturally responsive instruction and curriculum.	Some cultural proficiency/ competency training elements are identified in PD for certificated and classified staff, such as crosscultural interactions, cultural differences in communication patterns, role of culture and impact on EL learning and achievement, and culturally responsive instruction and curriculum.	Minimal cultural proficiency/ competency training elements are identified in PD for certificated and classified staff, such as crosscultural interactions, cultural differences in communication patterns, role of culture and impact on EL learning and achievement, and culturally responsive instruction and curriculum.	□ No mention of PD training for cultural proficiency or responsiveness.

Permission is granted to duplicate and distribute this document freely when credit is given to the sponsoring organizations.









English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #3 – Professional Development

Evidence (cite page numbers):	
Promising Practices (cite page numbers)	







English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #4 – Program and Course Access

Overall Rubric Rating:	

FOCUS AREA # 4 - Program and Course Access

	Exemplary	Good	Weak	No Evidence Included
Preschool*	□ Explicit description of preschool program(s) and activities for ELs including the development of both primary language and English.	☐ General description of preschool program(s) and some activities for ELs including support in both primary language and English.	☐ Limited description of preschool program(s) and activities for ELs with no mention of primary language support.	□ No mention of availability of preschool program(s) for ELs and no mention of primary language support.
Access to Rigorous Core Content	Explicit description of approach to provide ELs full access to rigorous academic content in all core content areas, TK-12th grade including the development of both primary language and English.	☐ General description of approach to provide ELs full access to rigorous academic content in all core content areas, TK-12th grade including support in both primary language and English.	☐ Minimal description of approach to provide ELs full access to rigorous academic content in all core content areas, TK-12th grade with no mention of primary language support.	□ No evidence of program and activities to increase EL access to rigorous academic content and no mention of primary language support.
LTEL Courses*	□ Detailed program and activities describe specialized ELD courses for Long Term English Learners and access to all core curriculum (grades 6-12).	□ Some description of specialized ELD courses for Long Term English Learners and access to core curriculum (grades 6-12).	☐ Mention of Long Term English Learners but no description of ELD courses or access to core curriculum (grades 6-12).	□ No mention of Long Term English Learners (grades 6-12).
Enrichment and/or Extracurricular Opportunities	□ Detailed description of program and activities to increase EL participation in enrichment and/or extracurricular opportunities (e.g. sports, clubs, GATE, Visual and Performing Arts).	☐ General description of program and activities to provide/promote EL participation in enrichment and/or extracurricular opportunities (e.g. sports, clubs, GATE, Visual and Performing Arts).	☐ Limited description of program and activities to promote EL participation in enrichment and/or extracurricular opportunities (e.g. sports, clubs, GATE, Visual and Performing Arts).	No evidence of program or activities for increased EL participation in enrichment and/or extracurricular opportunities (e.g. sports, clubs, GATE, Visual and Performing Arts).
Extended Learning	□ Detailed description of program(s) and activities to provide extended learning time specific to the language and academic needs of ELs.	☐ General description of program(s) and activities to provide extended learning time specific to the language and academic needs of ELs.	☐ Minimal description of program(s) and activities to provide extended learning time not specific to the language and academic needs of ELs.	☐ No evidence of program and activities for extended learning for ELs.

^{*}If rubric area does not apply to the district context, do not score and omit rubric area in calculation of overall score.









English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #4 – Program and Course Access

Evidence (cite page numbers):	
Promising Practices (cite page numbers)	

2









English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #7 – Actions and Services

Overall Rubric Rating:	

FOCUS AREA #7 - Actions and Services

	Exemplary	Good	Weak	No Evidence Included
Responsiveness to EL Profiles	Specific services, programs and actions, including interventions, address the differentiated language and academic needs of the various profiles of English Learners: newcomers, L1/L2 proficient students, LTELs, students at risk of becoming LTELs, preschool -12th grade.	□ Services, programs, and actions, including interventions, recognize the needs of some profiles of English Learners: newcomers, L1/L2 proficient students, LTELs, students at risk of becoming LTELs, preschool12th grade.	Minimal services, programs, and actions, including interventions, are described and do not differentiate for EL proficiency levels or are not specific to the various profiles of English Learners.	□ No mention of services, programs, and actions, including interventions, by EL proficiency level or profiles.
Assessment- Based Placement and Services	Program placement and services for ELs are informed by formative (ongoing) and summative (annual) academic and language development results, including L1 assessments when appropriate.	Program placement and services for ELs are informed by annual (summative) academic and language development results.	☐ ELs are assessed annually on language development but results play no role in program placement or services.	□ No mention of language development assessments for placement in program or services.
Program Options	Program options (e.g. Dual Immersion, Structured English Immersion, Bilingual, etc.) for English learners are based upon the needs of ELs, preferences of the parents and community; district resources are aligned.	Some program options (e.g. Dual Immersion, Structured English Immersion, Bilingual, etc.) for ELs are offered based upon the needs of ELs and district resources to determine program design and placement.	Some program options (e.g. Dual Immersion, Structured English Immersion, Bilingual, etc.) for ELs are limited and are not based upon the needs of ELs or district resources to determine program design and placement.	No mention of how students are placed in programs (e.g. Dual Immersion, Structured English Immersion, Bilingual, etc.) and provided services; difficult to distinguish EL programs from those for English only students.
Targeted Use of Supplemental and Concentration Funds	Explicit description of improved or increased services provided through supplemental and concentration funding. AND mention of how they add additional support, opportunities, personnel, resources etc. to enhance the base program for all ELs.	General description of services provided through supplemental and concentration funding with some mention of how they are aligned to EL needs.	□ Supplemental and concentration funds are identified for ELs but the targeted use of funds are not described.	□ Supplemental and concentration funds are not used to improve or increase services for English Learners.

 $Permission\ is\ granted\ to\ duplicate\ and\ distribute\ this\ document\ freely\ when\ credit\ is\ given\ to\ the\ sponsoring\ organizations.$









English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #7 – Actions and Services

Evidence (cite page numbers):			
_			







English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #10B – Desired Outcomes for English Learner Activities

Overall Rubric Rating:					

FOCUS AREA #10B -- Desired Outcomes for English Learner Activities

	Exemplary	Good	Weak	No Evidence Included
L1/L2 Data Reporting	□ Outcomes on <u>assessments in the LCAP</u> <u>are in English and the primary</u> <u>language</u> of the students who are <u>literate</u> <u>in their home language or are being</u> <u>instructed in the home language.</u>	☐ Outcomes on assessments are reported in the LCAP in English and the primary language of the students who are being instructed in the home language.	□ Outcomes for ELs are reported for English. No assessment results are included in the primary language.	□ No assessment results for ELs in English or the primary language are included in the LCAP.
GAP Reduction	□ EL academic growth exceeds the expected growth of English only students to demonstrate the closing of the achievement gap. Specific academic growth measures (e.g. AG, Graduation rate, AP, IB, and EAP passing scores) are disaggregated by ELs and reported by grade level and levels of English proficiency.	□ EL academic growth equals the expected growth of English only students. Some specific academic growth measures (e.g. SBAC, AG, Graduation rate, AP, IB, and EAP passing scores) are disaggregated by ELs.	Academic growth measures for ELs are included, but have not been compared to EO growth. Few specific academic growth measures (e.g. SBAC, AG, Graduation rate, AP, and EAP passing scores) are disaggregated by ELs.	□ Specific Academic growth measures for ELs are not included.
Transcript Evaluation (high school only)	☐ Transcripts from nonU.S. schools are evaluated so that students can be accurately placed and receive credit for courses taken and passed outside the U.S.	☐ <u>Transcripts from nonU.S.</u> <u>schools</u> are evaluated so that students can be <u>accurately placed</u> in grade level and appropriate courses.	☐ <u>Transcripts from non</u> <u>U.S.</u> schools are evaluated but <u>no credit is given f</u> or courses from non US schools.	☐ Transcripts from nonU.S. schools are not evaluated.
Increase in Seal of Biliteracy, Pathway Awards	☐ The numbers of students receiving the State Seal of Biliteracy and Biliteracy Pathway Awards (if appropriate) increase every year. The number of former ELs and EOs are disaggregated in Seal and Pathway award reports.	☐ The numbers of students receiving the State Seal of Biliteracy and Biliteracy Pathway Awards (if appropriate) increase every year.	☐ The <u>numbers of</u> <u>students</u> receiving the State Seal of Biliteracy or other students receiving Biliteracy Pathway awards (if appropriate) <u>remain the same</u> .	□ District does not mention the State Seal of Biliteracy or Biliteracy Pathway Awards.







English Learner Research-Aligned LCAP Rubric Focus Area #10B – Desired Outcomes for English Learner Activities

Evidence (cite page numbers):					
Promising Practices (cite page numbers)					





